QPBLUR: An active-set convex QP solver

Christopher Maes and Michael Saunders iCME, Stanford University

RTRA STAE Workshop Advanced methods and perspectives in nonlinear optimisation and control Toulouse, France

SNOPT obtains search directions from convex QP subproblems, currently solved by SQOPT. For problems with many degrees of freedom, the nullspace active-set method of SQOPT becomes inefficient.

SNOPT obtains search directions from convex QP subproblems, currently solved by SQOPT. For problems with many degrees of freedom, the nullspace active-set method of SQOPT becomes inefficient.

QPBLUR is an alternative QP solver intended for use within SNOPT. Primal and dual regularization ensures that the KKT system for any active set is nonsingular.

SNOPT obtains search directions from convex QP subproblems, currently solved by SQOPT. For problems with many degrees of freedom, the nullspace active-set method of SQOPT becomes inefficient.

QPBLUR is an alternative QP solver intended for use within SNOPT. Primal and dual regularization ensures that the KKT system for any active set is nonsingular.

A single-phase active-set method is possible. Warm starts can proceed from any active set. Block-LU updates of the KKT factors as in QPBLU (Hanh Huynh's PhD thesis 2008) allow use of packages such as LUSOL, MA57, PARDISO, SuperLU, or UMFPACK.

SNOPT obtains search directions from convex QP subproblems, currently solved by SQOPT. For problems with many degrees of freedom, the nullspace active-set method of SQOPT becomes inefficient.

QPBLUR is an alternative QP solver intended for use within SNOPT. Primal and dual regularization ensures that the KKT system for any active set is nonsingular.

A single-phase active-set method is possible. Warm starts can proceed from any active set. Block-LU updates of the KKT factors as in QPBLU (Hanh Huynh's PhD thesis 2008) allow use of packages such as LUSOL, MA57, PARDISO, SuperLU, or UMFPACK.

QPBLUR is effectively a penalty method with bounds. QPBCL (bound-constrained Lagrangian) includes a Lagrangian term to satisfy Ax = b more accurately.

Supported by the Office of Naval Research and AHPCRC

RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3-5, 2010

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

Motivation

Why another QP solver?

We would like a sparse QP solver that

- can handle a large number of free variables
- can warm-start efficiently (⇒ active-set method)

Why another QP solver?

We would like a sparse QP solver that

- can handle a large number of free variables
- can warm-start efficiently (⇒ active-set method)

Such a solver would be useful

- inside SQP methods (like SNOPT)
- for related QPs (e.g. model predictive control)

• Nonlinear objective & constraints, sparse Jacobian

- Nonlinear objective & constraints, sparse Jacobian
- SQP method solves a sequence of QP subproblems:

$$\min_{x} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx$$
$$Ax = b, \quad l \le x \le u,$$

where c, H, A, b change less and less

- Nonlinear objective & constraints, sparse Jacobian
- SQP method solves a sequence of QP subproblems:

$$\min_{x} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx$$
$$Ax = b, \quad l \le x \le u,$$

where *c*, *H*, *A*, *b* change less and less

• $H = G^{T}G$ is a limited-memory BFGS approximation

$$G = D(I + s_1 v_1^T)(I + s_2 v_2^T) \dots$$

- Nonlinear objective & constraints, sparse Jacobian
- SQP method solves a sequence of QP subproblems:

$$\min_{x} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx$$
$$Ax = b, \quad l \le x \le u,$$

where c, H, A, b change less and less

• $H = G^{T}G$ is a limited-memory BFGS approximation

$$G = D(I + s_1 v_1^T)(I + s_2 v_2^T) \dots$$

Currently use SQOPT (active-set null-space method)

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

SQOPT: Large-scale convex QP

RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3-5, 2010

SQOPT

min
$$c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x$$
 st $Ax = b$, $l \le x \le u$

Active-set null-space method

SQOPT

min
$$c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x$$
 st $Ax = b$, $l \le x \le u$

Active-set null-space method



OK if A_k is nearly square: 10000 × 12000 or 100000 × 102000

SQOPT

min
$$c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x$$
 st $Ax = b$, $l \le x \le u$

Active-set null-space method



For A_k 100000 × 400000, we need a QP solver based on KKT systems like QPA in GALAHAD

KKT system for current active set

Solve
$$K_0 \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



Abstract Motivation SQOPT **QPBLU** QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

QPBLU

RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3–5, 2010

F90 convex QP solver based on block-LU updates of K

F90 convex QP solver based on block-LU updates of K

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 D_0 L_0^T \text{ or } L_0 U_0$$

F90 convex QP solver based on block-LU updates of K

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 D_0 L_0^T \text{ or } L_0 U_0$$

 Uses black-box factorizer on K₀ (LUSOL, MA57, PARDISO, SuperLU, UMFPACK)

F90 convex QP solver based on block-LU updates of K

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 D_0 L_0^T \text{ or } L_0 U_0$$

- Uses black-box factorizer on K₀ (LUSOL, MA57, PARDISO, SuperLU, UMFPACK)
- Active-set method keeps K₀ nonsingular in theory

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 U_0$$

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 U_0$$

To change active set (add/delete cols of A_k), work with bordered system:



$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 U_0$$

To change active set (add/delete cols of A_k), work with bordered system:



• Y, Z sparse, C small

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 U_0$$

To change active set (add/delete cols of A_k), work with bordered system:



• Y, Z sparse, C small

Quasi-Newton updates to H_k handled same way

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & A_k^T \\ A_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = L_0 U_0$$

To change active set (add/delete cols of A_k), work with bordered system:



- *Y*, *Z* sparse, *C* small
- Quasi-Newton updates to H_k handled same way
- Singular K₀ is a difficulty in practice

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

QPBLUR: Large-scale QP with Regularization

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 δ and μ small

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 δ and μ small

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \mu I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 δ and μ small

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \mu I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Nonsingular for any active set (any active cols A_k)

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 δ and μ small

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \mu I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Nonsingular for any active set (any active cols Ak)
Always feasible (no Phase 1)

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 δ and μ small

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \mu I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Nonsingular for any active set (any active cols A_k)
- Always feasible (no Phase 1)
- Can use LUSOL, MA57, UMFPACK, ... without change

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 δ and μ small

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \mu I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Nonsingular for any active set (any active cols A_k)
- Always feasible (no Phase 1)
- Can use LUSOL, MA57, UMFPACK, ... without change
- Can use Hanh's block-LU updates without change

QPBLUR strategy

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

Matlab implementation

• Scale problem

QPBLUR strategy

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

Matlab implementation

- Scale problem
- Solve with δ , $\mu = 10^{-6}$, 10^{-8} , 10^{-10} , 10^{-12} optiol = $\sqrt{\delta}$
QPBLUR strategy

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

Matlab implementation

- Scale problem
- Solve with δ , $\mu = 10^{-6}$, 10^{-8} , 10^{-10} , 10^{-12} optiol = $\sqrt{\delta}$
- Unscale

QPBLUR strategy

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

Matlab implementation

- Scale problem
- Solve with δ , $\mu = 10^{-6}$, 10^{-8} , 10^{-10} , 10^{-12} optiol = $\sqrt{\delta}$
- Unscale
- Solve with $\delta, \mu = 10^{-8}, 10^{-10}, 10^{-12}$ optiol = $\sqrt{\delta}$

QPBLUR strategy

$$\min_{x,y} \quad c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta \|x\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

Matlab implementation

- Scale problem
- Solve with δ , $\mu = 10^{-6}$, 10^{-8} , 10^{-10} , 10^{-12} optiol = $\sqrt{\delta}$
- Unscale
- Solve with $\delta, \mu = 10^{-8}, 10^{-10}, 10^{-12}$ optiol = $\sqrt{\delta}$
- Exit if small relative change in obj

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

Numerical Results

Accuracy of solutions



Residuals for 90 Meszaros QP test problems

KKT factorizations



No. of factorizations on 90 Meszaros QP test problems

 $\min_{x,y} c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + \frac{1}{2} \delta x^T x + \frac{1}{2} \mu y^T y \qquad A x + \mu y = b, \ l \le x \le u$ Advantages

• Warm starts (any x₀, any working set)

 $\min_{x,y} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta x^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}\mu y^{T}y \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \ l \le x \le u$

Advantages

- Warm starts (any x₀, any working set)
- Any black-box LU or LDL^T solver (preferably separate L/U solves and no refinement)

 $\min_{x,y} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta x^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}\mu y^{T}y \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \ l \le x \le u$

Advantages

- Warm starts (any x₀, any working set)
- Any black-box LU or LDL^T solver (preferably separate L/U solves and no refinement)
- LU should never report singularity (no KKT repair)

 $\min_{x,y} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta x^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}\mu y^{T}y \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \ l \le x \le u$

Advantages

- Warm starts (any x₀, any working set)
- Any black-box LU or LDL^T solver (preferably separate L/U solves and no refinement)
- LU should never report singularity (no KKT repair)
- Simple algorithm (strict convexity, always feasible, no primal degeneracy)

 $\min_{x,y} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta x^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}\mu y^{T}y \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \ l \le x \le u$

Advantages

- Warm starts (any x₀, any working set)
- Any black-box LU or LDL^T solver (preferably separate L/U solves and no refinement)
- LU should never report singularity (no KKT repair)
- Simple algorithm (strict convexity, always feasible, no primal degeneracy)

Disadvantages

• Large regularization: many itns from cold start

 $\min_{x,y} c^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + \frac{1}{2}\delta x^{T}x + \frac{1}{2}\mu y^{T}y \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \ l \le x \le u$

Advantages

- Warm starts (any x₀, any working set)
- Any black-box LU or LDL^T solver (preferably separate L/U solves and no refinement)
- LU should never report singularity (no KKT repair)
- Simple algorithm (strict convexity, always feasible, no primal degeneracy)

Disadvantages

- Large regularization: many itns from cold start
- Tiny regularization: risks ill-conditioned KKT (but so far so good)

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

Penalty vs Augmented Lagrangian

QP objective: $\phi(x) = c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + \frac{1}{2} \delta \|x\|_2^2$

QP objective: $\phi(x) = c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + \frac{1}{2} \delta \|x\|_2^2$

We've been doing regularized QP:

$$\min_{x,y} \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_2^2 \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

QP objective: $\phi(x) = c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + \frac{1}{2} \delta \|x\|_2^2$

We've been doing regularized QP:

$$\min_{x,y} \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_2^2 \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

\equiv Penalty function:

$$\min_{\ell \le x \le u} \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\rho \|b - Ax\|_2^2 \qquad (\rho = 1/\mu)$$

QP objective: $\phi(x) = c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + \frac{1}{2} \delta \|x\|_2^2$

We've been doing regularized QP:

$$\min_{x,y} \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|y\|_2^2 \qquad Ax + \mu y = b, \quad l \le x \le u$$

 \equiv Penalty function:

$$\min_{0 \le x \le u} \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\rho \|b - Ax\|_2^2 \qquad (\rho = 1/\mu)$$

Why not Augmented Lagrangian?:

$$\min_{\ell \le x \le u} \phi(x) - \hat{y}^{T}(b - Ax) + \frac{1}{2}\rho \|b - Ax\|_{2}^{2}$$

RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3-5, 2010

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

BCL method as in LANCELOT

RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3-5, 2010

min $\phi(x)$ st c(x) = 0, $\ell \le x \le u$

Bound-constrained augmented Lagrangian method

$$L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) - \hat{y}^{T} c(x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \|c(x)\|^{2}$$

min $\phi(x)$ st c(x) = 0, $\ell \le x \le u$

Bound-constrained augmented Lagrangian method

$$L(x, \dot{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) - \dot{y}' c(x) + \frac{1}{2}\rho ||c(x)||^2$$

• Subproblem: $\min_{x} L(x, \hat{y}, \rho)$ st $\ell \le x \le u$

min $\phi(x)$ st c(x) = 0, $\ell \le x \le u$

Bound-constrained augmented Lagrangian method

$$L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) - \hat{y}^T c(x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \|c(x)\|^2$$

• Subproblem: $\min_x L(x, \hat{y}, \rho)$ st $\ell \le x \le u$ • Solve to get \hat{x} (optimality tol $\omega \to 0$)

min $\phi(x)$ st c(x) = 0, $\ell \le x \le u$

Bound-constrained augmented Lagrangian method

$$L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) - \hat{y}^{T} c(x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \|c(x)\|^{2}$$

- Subproblem: $\min_x L(x, \hat{y}, \rho)$ st $\ell \le x \le u$
- Solve to get x̂
- If $||c(\hat{x})|| < \eta$, update \hat{y}

(optimality tol $\omega \rightarrow 0$) (feasibility tol $\eta \rightarrow 0$)

min $\phi(x)$ st c(x) = 0, $\ell \le x \le u$

Bound-constrained augmented Lagrangian method

$$L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) - \hat{y}^{T} c(x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \|c(x)\|^{2}$$

- Subproblem: $\min_x L(x, \hat{y}, \rho)$ st $\ell \le x \le u$
- Solve to get x̂
- If $||c(\hat{x})|| < \eta$, update \hat{y}
- Otherwise, increase ρ

(optimality tol $\omega \rightarrow 0$) (feasibility tol $\eta \rightarrow 0$)

min $\phi(x)$ st c(x) = 0, $\ell \le x \le u$

Bound-constrained augmented Lagrangian method

$$L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) - \hat{y}^{T} c(x) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \|c(x)\|^{2}$$

- Subproblem: $\min_x L(x, \hat{y}, \rho)$ st $\ell \le x \le u$
- Solve to get x̂
- If $||c(\hat{x})|| < \eta$, update \hat{y}
- Otherwise, increase ρ
- Repeat

(optimality tol $\omega \rightarrow 0$)

(feasibility tol $\eta \rightarrow 0$)

$$\min_{x} \phi(x) \text{ st } Ax = b, \ \ell \leq x \leq u$$

BCL subproblem

$$\min_{x,r} L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) + \hat{y}^T r + \frac{1}{2}\rho ||r||^2$$
$$Ax + r = b, \qquad \ell \le x \le u$$

$$\min_{x} \phi(x) \text{ st } Ax = b, \ \ell \leq x \leq u$$

BCL subproblem

$$\min_{x,r} L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) + \hat{y}^T r + \frac{1}{2}\rho ||r||^2$$
$$Ax + r = b, \quad \ell \le x \le u$$

• Solve subproblem to get \hat{x} , \hat{r} (optimality tol $\omega \rightarrow 0$)

$$\min_{x} \phi(x) \text{ st } Ax = b, \ \ell \leq x \leq u$$

BCL subproblem

$$\min_{x,r} L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) + \hat{y}^T r + \frac{1}{2}\rho ||r||^2$$
$$Ax + r = b, \quad \ell \le x \le u$$

• Solve subproblem to get \hat{x} , \hat{r} (optimality tol $\omega \to 0$) • If $||\hat{r}|| < \eta$, update \hat{y} (feasibility tol $\eta \to 0$)

$$\min_{x} \phi(x) \text{ st } Ax = b, \ \ell \leq x \leq u$$

BCL subproblem

$$\min_{x,r} L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) + \hat{y}^T r + \frac{1}{2}\rho ||r||^2$$
$$Ax + r = b, \quad \ell \le x \le u$$

- Solve subproblem to get \hat{x} , \hat{r} (optimality tol $\omega \rightarrow 0$)
- If $\|\hat{r}\| < \eta$, update \hat{y}

(feasibility tol $\eta \rightarrow 0$)

• Otherwise, increase ρ

$$\min_{x} \phi(x) \text{ st } Ax = b, \ \ell \leq x \leq u$$

BCL subproblem

$$\min_{x,r} L(x, \hat{y}, \rho) = \phi(x) + \hat{y}^T r + \frac{1}{2}\rho ||r||^2$$
$$Ax + r = b, \quad \ell \le x \le u$$

- Solve subproblem to get \hat{x} , \hat{r} (optimality tol $\omega \rightarrow 0$)
- If $\|\hat{r}\| < \eta$, update \hat{y}
- Otherwise, increase *p*
- Repeat

(feasibility tol $\eta \rightarrow 0$)

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

QPBCL

RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3–5, 2010

QPBCL: Active-set method for convex QP

$$AP = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & N_k \end{pmatrix}$$

QPBCL: Active-set method for convex QP

$$AP = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & N_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \frac{1}{\rho}I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ \frac{1}{\rho}(\hat{y} - y) + r \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Delta r = \frac{1}{\rho}(y + \Delta y - \hat{y}) - r$$

QPBCL: Active-set method for convex QP

$$AP = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & N_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(H_k + \delta I) & A_k^T \\ A_k & \frac{1}{\rho}I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_k \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k - A_k^T y \\ \frac{1}{\rho}(\hat{y} - y) + r \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Delta r = \frac{1}{\rho}(y + \Delta y - \hat{y}) - r$$

- Same system as QPBLUR just different rhs
- Still single phase
- Still use LUSOL, MA57, UMFPACK, ...
- Still use Hanh's block-LU updates

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

Numerical Results

Penalty and Regularization parameter QPBCL allows for smaller ρ (hence larger $\mu \equiv 1/\rho$) compared to QPBLUR



RTRA STAE Workshop

Toulouse, Feb 3-5, 2010

QPBCL vs SQOPT

Compare QPBCL iterations and SQOPT minor iterations
QPBCL vs SQOPT

Compare QPBCL iterations and SQOPT minor iterations

There are 3 kinds of people: Those who can count, and those who cannot.

— George Carlin

 Conn, Gould, and Toint (1992) LANCELOT: A Fortran Package for Large-Scale Nonlinear Optimization (Release A), Springer-Verlag

- Conn, Gould, and Toint (1992) LANCELOT: A Fortran Package for Large-Scale Nonlinear Optimization (Release A), Springer-Verlag
- Nocedal and Wright (2006) Chapter 17 of Numerical Optimization, Springer

- Conn, Gould, and Toint (1992) LANCELOT: A Fortran Package for Large-Scale Nonlinear Optimization (Release A), Springer-Verlag
- Nocedal and Wright (2006) Chapter 17 of Numerical Optimization, Springer
- Z. Dostál, A. Friedlander, and S. A. Santos (2003) Augmented Lagrangians with adaptive precision control for QP with simple bounds and equality constraints, SIOPT 13

- Conn, Gould, and Toint (1992) LANCELOT: A Fortran Package for Large-Scale Nonlinear Optimization (Release A), Springer-Verlag
- Nocedal and Wright (2006) Chapter 17 of Numerical Optimization, Springer
- Z. Dostál, A. Friedlander, and S. A. Santos (2003) Augmented Lagrangians with adaptive precision control for QP with simple bounds and equality constraints, SIOPT 13
- M. P. Friedlander and S. Leyffer (2008)
 Global and finite termination of a two-phase augmented Lagrangian filter method for general QP, SISC 30

- Conn, Gould, and Toint (1992) LANCELOT: A Fortran Package for Large-Scale Nonlinear Optimization (Release A), Springer-Verlag
- Nocedal and Wright (2006) Chapter 17 of Numerical Optimization, Springer
- Z. Dostál, A. Friedlander, and S. A. Santos (2003) Augmented Lagrangians with adaptive precision control for QP with simple bounds and equality constraints, SIOPT 13
- M. P. Friedlander and S. Leyffer (2008)
 Global and finite termination of a two-phase augmented Lagrangian filter method for general QP, SISC 30
- Philip Gill and Elizabeth Wong (2009-...) Continuing Hanh's f90 QPBLU for use in SNOPT BCL approach for indefinite QP (2nd derivatives in SNOPT)

Abstract Motivation SQOPT QPBLU QPBLUR Results Penalty vs AugLag BCL QPBCL Results

Merci beaucoups a tous

lain Duff Serge Gratton Xavier Vasseur Brigitte Yzel

> RTRA CERFACS